From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

SECOND AND SUPPLEMENTARY NOTICE INFORMING THE APPLICANT OF THE COMMUNICATION OF THE INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION (TO DESIGNATED OFFICES WHICH APPLY THE 30 MONTH TIME LIMIT UNDER ARTICLE 22(1))

(PCT Rule 47.1(c))

DABBOUSSY, Rodney
SHELSTON IP
60 Margaret Street
Sydney, NSW 2000
AUSTRALIE

143635

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 18 May 2006 (18.05.2006)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 42325WOP00

IMPORTANT NOTICE

International application No. PCT/IB2005/050128

International filing date (day/month/year) 12 January 2005 (12.01.2005)

Priority date (day/month/year) 12 January 2004 (12.01.2004)

Applicant

SONGEST, Anthony, Donald et al

- ATTENTION: For any designated Office(s), for which the time limit under Article 22(1), as in force from 1 April 2002 (30 months from the priority date), does not apply, please see Form PCT/IB/308(First Notice) issued previously.
- Notice is hereby given that the following designated Office(s), for which the time limit under Article 22(1), as in force from 1 April 2002, does apply, has/have requested that the communication of the international application, as provided for in Article 20, be effected under Rule 93bis.1. The International Bureau has effected that communication on the date indicated below: 28 July 2005 (28.07.2005)

AU, AZ, BY, CN, CO, DZ, EP, HU, KG, KP, KR, MD, MK, MZ, NA, PG, RU, SY, TM, US

In accordance with Rule 47.1(c-bis)(i), those Offices will accept the present notice as conclusive evidence that the communication of the international application has duly taken place on the date of mailing indicated above and no copy of the international application is required to be furnished by the applicant to the designated Office(s).

3. The following designated Offices, for which the time limit under Article 22(1), as in force from 1 April 2002, does apply, have not requested, as at the time of mailing of the present notice, that the communication of the international application be effected under Rule 93bis.1:

AE, AG, AL, AM, AP, AT, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BZ, CA, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, EA, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LV, MA, MG, MN, MW, MX, NI, NO, NZ, OA, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, SC, SD, SG, SK, SL, SM, TJ, TN, TR, TT, UA, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZW

In accordance with Rule 47.1(c-bis)(ii), those Offices accept the present notice as conclusive evidence that the Contracting State for which that Office acts as a designated Office does not require the furnishing, under Article 22, by the applicant of a copy of the international application.

4. TIME LIMITS for entry into the national phase

For the designated or elected Office(s) listed above, the applicable time limit for entering the national phase will, subject to what is said in the following paragraph, be 30 MONTHS from the priority date.

In practice, time limits other than the 30-month time limit will continue to apply, for various periods of time, in respect of certain of the designated or elected Office(s) listed above. For regular updates on the applicable time limits (30 or 31 months, or other time limit), Office by Office, refer to the PCT Gazette, the PCT Newsletter and the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II, National Chapters, all available from WIPO's Internet site, at http://www.wipo.int/pct/en/index.html.

It is the applicant's sole responsibility to monitor all these time limits.

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Authorized officer

Cecile Chatel

Facsimile No.+41 22 338 70 60

Form PCT/IB/308(Second and Supplementary Notice) (January 2004)

Facsimile No.+41 22 740 14 35

1 4 MAR 2005

PCT

SA 331532 INDIFICATION

io

INOTIFICATION CONCERNING
SUBMISSION OR TRANSMITTAL
OF PRIORITY DOCUMENT

(PCT Administrative Instructions, Section 411)

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

To:

DABBOUSSY, Rodney SHELSTON IP 60 Margaret Street Sydney, NSW 2000 Australia

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 03 March 2005 (03.03.2005)	
Applicant's or agent's file reference 42325WOP00	IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)
PCT/IB2005/050128	12 January 2005 (12.01.2005)
International publication date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
Not yet published	12 January 2004 (12.01.2004)

SONGEST, Anthony, Donald

- By means of this Form, which replaces any previously issued notification concerning submission or transmittel of priority documents, the applicant is hereby notified of the date of receipt by the International Bureau of the priority document(s) relating to all earlier application(s) whose priority is claimed. Unless otherwise indicated by the letters "NR", in the right-hand column or by an asterisk appearing next to a date of receipt, the priority document concerned was submitted or transmitted to the International Bureau in compliance with Rule 17.1(a) or (b).
- 2. (If applicable) The letters "NR" appearing in the right-hand column denote a priority document which, on the date of mailing of this Form, had not yet been received by the International Bureau under Rule 17.1(a) or (b). Where, under Rule 17.1(a), the priority document must be submitted by the applicant to the receiving Office or the International Bureau, but the applicant fails to submit the priority document within the applicable time limit under that Rule, the attention of the applicant is directed to Rule 17.1(c) which provides that no designated Office may disregard the priority claim concerned before giving the applicant an opportunity, upon entry into the national phase, to furnish the priority document within a time limit which is reasonable under the circumstances.
- 3. (If applicable) An asterisk(*) appearing next to a date of receipt, in the right-hand column, denotes a priority document submitted or transmitted to the International Bureau but not in compliance with Rule 17.1(a) or (b) (the priority document was received after the time limit prescribed in Rule 17.1(a) or the request to prepare and transmit the priority document was submitted to the receiving Office after the applicable time limit under Rule 17.1(b)). Even though the priority document was not furnished in compliance with Rule 17.1(a) or (b), the International Bureau will nevertheless' transmit a copy of the document to the designated Office, for their consideration. In case such a copy is not accepted by the designated Office as priority document, Rule 17.1(c) provides that no designated Office may disregard the priority claim concerned before giving the applicant an opportunity, upon entry into the national phase, to furnish the priority document within a time limit which is reasonable under the circumstances.

	<u>Priority date</u>	Priority application No.	Country or regional Office or PCT receiving Office	<u>Date of receipt</u> of priority document
- 1	12 Janu 2004 (12.01,2004) 30 June 2004 (30.06,2004)	2004900130 2004903596		1 Marc 2005 (01.03.2005) 1 Marc 2005 (01.03.2005)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Authorized officer

Olivier LAPIERRE

Telephone No. (41-22) 338 7109



Confidential Facsimile Transmission

Facsimile No. - 0011 41 22 740 1435 Pages: Four CONFIRMATION via MAIL

ABN 58 855 816 942

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20 Switzerland

22 June, 2005

Our Ref: 42325WOP00

Speed Dial: 508 CCN: 3710000352

Contact:

Rodney Dabboussy

Dear Sirs

Anthony Donald Songest Patent Co-Operation Treaty Patent Application No. PCT/IB2005/050128

Title: COLLAPSIBLE VEHICLE COVER

We refer to the above PCT application and advise that the name of the applicant has changed to:

Creative Car Products Pty Ltd (ABN 62 112 601 724)

by way of assignment. We enclose the original document herewith.

The details of the Address, State of Residence and State of Nationality remain the same.

Please update your records accordingly.

We look forward to receiving official notification of recordal of the above change in due course. Please also arrange to have the original assignment document returned to us.

Yours respectfully Shelston IP

f Hhlller

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

	From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU		
PCT	To: RECEIVED		
NOTIFICATION OF THE RECORDING OF A CHANGE (PCT Rule 92bis.1 and Administrative Instructions, Section 422) Date of mailing (day/month/year) 28 June 2005 (28.06.2005)	DABBOUSSY, Rodney SHELSTON IP 60 Margaret Street Sydney, NSW 2000 Australia May To Initials Action Date		
Applicant's or agent's file reference 42325WOP00	e reference IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION		
International application No. PCT/IB2005/050128	International filing date (day/month/year) 12 January 2005 (12.01.2005)		
The following indications appeared on record concerning: X the applicant	the agent the common representative		
Name and Address	State of Nationality State of Residence GB AU Telephone No.		
	Facsimile No.		
	Teleprinter No.		
2. The International Bureau hereby notifies the applicant that X the person the name the ad			
Name and Address CREATIVE CAR PRODUCTS PTY LTD 806 Barrenjoey Road Palm Beach, NSW 2108	State of Nationality State of Residence GB AU Telephone No.		
Australia	Facsimile No.		
3. Further observations, if necessary:	Teleprinter No.		
The company indicated in box 2 has been added	d to the application as applicant for all e, SONGEST, Anthony, Donald becomes applicant		
4. A copy of this notification has been sent to:			
X the receiving Office	the designated Offices concerned		
the International Searching Authority the International Preliminary Examining Authority	the elected Offices concerned other:		
	Authorized officer		
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Sonnia GARCIA MELLITI (Fax : 4122		
acsimile No. (41-22) 338.70.60	Telephone No. (41-22) 338 9919		



ABN 58 855 816 942

The International Preliminary Examining Authority Australian Patent Office PO Box 200 Woden ACT 2606

20 October, 2005

Our Ref: 42325WOP00

Speed Dial: 508

CCN: 3710000352

Patent Co-Operation Treaty Patent Application No. PCT/IB2005/050128
Creative Car Products Pty. Ltd.
Title: COLLAPSIBLE VEHICLE COVER

Contact:

Rodney Dabboussy

We refer to the First Written Opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority mailed on 17 March, 2005 in respect of the above application.

Pursuant to Article 34, the Applicant requests the International Preliminary Examining Authority take into account the following comments when conducting the International Preliminary Examination.

COMMENTS

Dear Sirs

We note that in the First Written Opinion, the Examining Authority asserts that US 3465765 "explicitly discloses all the features of claims 1-6" of the present application. We respectfully submit that this assertion is incorrect.

US 3465765 discloses a shelter for a vehicle including a horizontal plate 11 and a frame for supporting a sheet material connected to the plate. Figures 1 to 4 show that the frame comprises a plurality of arcuate supports 17 extending both laterally and longitudinally across the plate 16. It is noted that at several locations on the frame one support cross over another to define join locations.

However, we note that US 3465765 does not disclose at least two of the supports (hoop members) extending diagonally across the plate 11 in a cruciform orientation as is stipulated by claim 1 of the present application. The diagonal extension of the hoop members 22 is clearly depicted on Figure 3 of the present application. Further, it appears that none of the cited documents D1 –D6 disclose this feature.

The applicant has found that this diagonal support member configuration advantageously provides a stronger structure for resisting wind loads, whilst minimising the number of support (hoop) members required. In addition, resilient members can be used, which are lighter and easier to store in kit form. It is uncertain if the arcuate supports of US 3465765 are resilient as it is stated that they "may be flat bands of material such as plastic, or aluminium" (column 2, lines 26-27), which of course may be rigid or resilient.

It is submitted that the diagonally extending hoop member feature stipulated by claim 1 of the present application does not constitute a mere workshop improvement but rather provides a significant inventive step and confers novelty over the prior art.

In light of the above, we would be grateful if the IPEA would establish a clear report.

Yours respectfully **Shelston IP**

Encl.